

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 ANTONIO LAVON DOYLE,
7 Petitioner,
8 v.
9

10 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,
11 Respondents.
12

Case No. 3:00-cv-00101-RCJ-WGC

ORDER

13 In this capital habeas corpus action, after the Court ruled on the respondents'
14 motion to dismiss on May 23, 2018, and then after a 90-day extension of time, a 21-day
15 extension of time, and a 7-day extension of time, the respondents were due to file their
16 answer on December 17, 2018. See Order entered May 23, 2018 (ECF No. 301); Order
17 entered August 28, 2018 (ECF No. 303); Order entered November 26, 2018 (ECF No.
18 305); Order entered December 11, 2018 (ECF No. 307).

19 On December 17, 2018, the respondents filed a motion for an extension of time
20 (ECF No. 308), requesting a fourth extension of time, this one a one-day extension, to
21 extend the deadline for the answer to December 18, 2018. Respondents then filed their
22 answer on December 18, 2018 (ECF No. 309). Respondents' counsel states that the
23 extension of time was necessary because of personal health issues. The Court finds
24 that the respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely
25 for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time
26 requested.

27 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of
28 Time (ECF No. 308) is **GRANTED**. Respondents' answer, filed on December 18, 2018,
will be treated as timely filed.

